IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

THURSDAY, THE 2ND AUGUST 2007 / 11TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 12132 of 2007(T)

PETITIONER:

LEELA KUNJU KUNJU, BALIAPADATH HOUSE,
THAIKKATTUKARA P.O., ALUVA.

BY ADV. SRI. K.R. KURUP
SRI. C.R. SYAMKUMAR
SRI. K.J. ABRAHAM
SRI. LAVARAJ M.G.

RESPONDENT:

THE SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT
AUTHORITY, IDUKKI.

BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. BENJAMIN PAUL.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 02/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 12132 of 2007(T)

A P P E N D I X

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT. P1	**:**	**COPY OF THE REGULAR PERMIT DATED 6.9.2003.**
EXT. P2	**:**	**COPY OF THE TIMINGS OF THE S/C OF THE PETITIONER DATED 1.9.2006**
EXT. P3	**:**	**COPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY RESPONDENT DATED 17.11.2006.**
EXT. P4	**:**	**COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN W.P. © 31803/06 DATED 30.11.2006.**
EXT. P5	**:**	**COPY OF THE OBJECTIONS OF THE PETITIONER DATED 04.12.2006.**
EXT. P6	**:**	**COPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY RESPONDENT DATED 14.12.2006.**
EXT. P7	**:**	**COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN W.P.(C) 33793/06 DATED 1.1.2007.**
EXT. P8	**:**	**COPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY RESPONDENT DATED 02.04.2007.**

// True Copy //

P.A. to Judge.

Acd

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.P.(C)NO.12132 OF 2007

DATED THIS THE 2nd DAY OF AUGUST, 2007

JUDGMENT

The complaint of the petitioner in this writ petition is that despite Exts.P4 and P7 judgments, by which this Court had directed settling of timings without inviting fresh objections, the respondent has again by Ext.P8 convened a timing conference and invited objections.

2. The learned Government pleader deriving support from the counter affidavit submits that Ext.P8 is not a notice inviting fresh objections but it is only a notice for the timing conference to be held pursuant to Ext.P7 judgment.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner points out that in Ext.P7 judgment dated 1.1.2007, the respondent was directed to pass orders within a period to three months, which has not been done and no application for extension of time has also been filed by the respondent.

4. I have heard both sides in detail. Although I am not happy with the conduct of the respondent in so far he has not cared to comply with Ext. P7 judgment within the time stipulated and has not even cared to apply for extension of time, to avoid all

controversies, I direct that the direction in Ext.P7 judgment shall be complied with in letter and spirit without calling for any objections or without considering any fresh objections, which have been received after 1.1.2007, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

Acd